P 279 The what and where of operant self-learning mechanisms in Drosophila



Julien Colomb, Ezequiel Mendoza, Diana Pauly, Sathishkumar Raja, Björn Brembs Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Biologie - Neurobiologie, Berlin, Germany bjoern@brembs.net, http://brembs.net

1. PKC activity is required specifically for self-learning





Control

MB

OK



6. No obvious brain defects in FoxP mutants



Fig. 6: FoxP mutant brains do not seem to be obviously malformed. A quantitative anatomical analysis searching for more subtle defects is currently under way.

Developing antibodies 5. against Drosophila FoxP



Fig. 1: Two operant conditioning experiments, distinguished by the presence or absence of predictive stimuli. Above: Flies learn to avoid the heat associated with one of two colors and left or right turning, respectively. Manipulating cAMP levels abolishes learning in this task. Below: Removing the color stimuli leaves the animal with only its behavior as predictor of heat punishment. Manipulating PKC abolishes learning in this task. Brembs & Plendl, Curr. Biol. 2008



2. Drosophila FoxP is required specifically for self-learning











Fig. 2: FoxP function dissociates between self- and world-learning. Canton S and genetic control lines perform well in both learning situations, whereas a FoxP mutant line and a FoxP RNAi line show significantly reduced learning scores specifically in the self-learning task.

3. Screening PKC isoforms Mutants







Fig. 4: Primer pairs directed against each of the two FoxP isoforms (left) do not lead to any amplificate in the FoxP mutant line.

> **Fig. 3:** We are currently in the process of screening various mutant and RNAi lines affecting different PKC isoforms. Two viable, flying mutant lines have been tested in self-learning and are not impaired.

Presented at the 9th International Congress of Neuroethology in Salamanca, August 2-7, 2010.